

Force Controllers for daVinci in AMBF Simulator

Team

Farid Tavakkologhaddam Sapan Agrawal Kartik Patath Hao Yang Tianyu Zhu

Instructor Prof. Gregory Fischer

Introduction & Background

- Da Vinci Research Kit (dVRK):
	- An Open teleoperated surgical robotic system consisting of master and slave sides
- Patient Side Manipulator (PSM):
	- Comprised of two tool manipulator arms and one endoscope
	- 7 DOF for dexterous and natural hand manipulation
- Master Tool Manipulator (MTM):
	- Comprised of two haptic manipulator arms
	- 7 DOF for dexterous and natural hand manipulation
- Control challenges
	- Lack of feedback from haptic devices
	- No compliant controller available for either the PSM or MTM

a) Clinical da Vinci system

b) PSM arms of dVRK [1,2]

c) dVRK MTM [5]

MTM Kinematic Model

- Overall structure rotates about the vertical axis of J1 of an angle θ1
- Revolute joints with axes J2, J2', J2" and J3 form a 2-DOF parallelogram mechanism
- Two actuated joints of the parallelogram are those about axes J2 (angle θ2) and J3 (angle θ3)
- the axes J4, J5, J6 and J7 intersect in the same point and correspond to revolute joints with angles θ4, θ5, θ6 and θ7
- All the joints are actuated by a motor, with the exception of the two revolute joints of the parallelogram about axes J2' and J2''

Master tool Manipulator (MTM) kinematics with Denavit-Hartenberg frames [7]

G. A. Fontanelli, F. Ficuciello, L. Villani and B. Siciliano, "Modelling and identification of the da Vinci Research Kit robotic arms," *2017 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, Vancouver, BC, 2017, pp. 1464-1469.

AMBF (**A**synchronous **M**ulti **B**ody **F**ramework)

• AMBF is a simulator capable of simulating multiple robots asynchronously in real time

and Interventional on and Interventional

• It also provides real time haptic interaction with robots via haptic devices such as dVRK's Master Tool Manipulator (MTM), Razer Hydras

Why AMBF!?

- Support for closed loop/parallel mechanisms (such as the dVRK MTM)
- Built-in position (PID) and effort (torque) controller
- Compatible with ROS and Python client
- Readily available robot models such as dVRK and KUKA lbr
- Uses YAML file format, a human-readable file format, for model description!

Robot representation (YAML vs. URDF)

YAML

- ●"**YA**ML is not a **M**arkup **L**anguage"
- Non-hierarchical model (i.e each link has its own parent and child)
- Can define closed loop mechanisms
- Can be used as a universal description format

URDF

- **● U**nified **R**obot **D**efinition **F**ormat
- Hierarchical model (i.e single parent and multiple children)
- No support closed loop mechanisms
- Not a universal format

YAML file description

•Body

BODY link1: name: link1 mesh: link1.STL $mass: 1.0$ collision margin: 0.001 scale: 1.0 location: orientation: $\{p: -0.0, r: 0.0, y: 0.0\}$ position: $\{x: 0.0, y: 0.0, z: -1.197\}$ inertial offset: orientation: $\{p: 0, r: 0, y: 0\}$ position: {x: 0.0, y: -0.017, z: 0.134} friction: {rolling: 0.01, static: 0.5} damping: {angular: 0.95, linear: 0.95} restitution: 0 collision groups: [0] color components: ambient: {level: 1.0} diffuse: $\{b: 0.0054, g: 0.2702, r: 0.8\}$ specular: $\{b: 1.0, g: 1.0, r: 1.0\}$ transparency: 1.0

• Joint

JOINT base-link1: name: base-link1 parent: BODY base child: BODY link1 parent axis: $\{x: 0.0, y: 0.0, z: 1.0\}$ parent pivot: {x: 0.0, y: 0.0, z: 0.103} child axis: $\{x: 0.0, y: 0.0, z: 1.0\}$ child pivot: {x: 0.0, y: 0.0, z: 0.0} joint limits: {high: 2.094, low: -2.094} controller: {D: 2.0, I: 0, P: 1000.0} type: revolute

RBDL:

- **• R**igid **B**ody **D**ynamics **L**ibrary is a C++ based dynamics library
- Available for use in python using the wrapper module
- Contains essential rigid body dynamics algorithms
	- Articulated Body Algorithm (ABA) for forward dynamics
	- Dynamics modeling using Recursive Newton-Euler Algorithm (RNEA)
	- Composite Rigid Body Algorithm (CRBA) for the efficient computation of the joint space inertia matrix
- Comprehensive support for both kinematic and dynamics modeling
	- Forward and inverse kinematics
	- Handling of external constraints such as closed-loop contacts
	- Forward and inverse dynamics, Jacobian, inertia , …

Challenge: NO Documentation!!! Perf: https://rbdl.bitbucket.io

YAML to RBDL model

- For calculation of the dynamics, RBDL takes "rbdl.model()" object as an input to access all the required information such as:
	- Mass, inertia, center of mass location, …
	- Description of the kinematic chain of the robot model
	- Joint and contact types
- Parameters from a YAML file need to be parsed into an RBDL model
- This conversion is handled by a custom-made parser class which constructs the RBDL model by receiving the YAML file as an input!

YAML to RBDL parser

def YamlToRBDLmodel(data, Bodies, Joints):

file_path = "/home/sonu/KUKA_7Dof/blender-kuka7dof.yaml" $data = yam$ loader(file_path) $model = rbd1.Model()$ $model.gravity = [0, 0, -9.81]$ no_of_bodies, random_var = Bodies_count(data) mass = get_mass_array(data, Bodies) com_val = get_inertial_offset(data, Bodies) inertia_val = get_inertia_values(data, Bodies) parent_dist = get_parent_pivot(data, Joints) $J_type = get_joint_type(data, Joint)$ joint_rot_z = rbdl.Joint.fromJointType ("JointTypeRevoluteZ") joint_fixed= rbdl.Joint.fromJointType ("JointTypeFixed") $child_axes = get_child_axes(data, Joints)$ parent_axes = get_parent_axes(data, Joints)

for i in range(0, no_of_bodies): $trans = rbdl.SpatialTransform()$ if $i = 0$: $trans.E = np.\text{eye}(3);$ trans.r = $[0.0, 0.0, 0.0]$; print("T is\n", trans); $child_axis = dicttoVec(child_axes[i-1][0])$ $parent_axis = dicttoVec(parent_axes[i-1][0])$ r_mat = rot_matrix_from_vecs(mathutils.Vector(child_axis), mathutils.Vector(parent_axis)) r_m at_np = MatToNpArray(r_m at) # print("R is", r_mat_np) $trans.E = r_matrix$ # print("R is\n", trans.E); $trans.r = parent_dist[i];$ # print("T is\n", trans.r); print("T is\n", trans);

```
I_x = inertia_val[i][0]I_y = inertia_val[i][1]I_z = inertia_val[i][2]
inertia_matrix = np.array([[I_x, 0, 0], [0, I_y, 0], [0, 0, I_z]])
body = rbdl.Body.fromMassComInertia(mass[i], com_val[i], inertia_matrix)
```
 $if i == 0:$ joint_type = joint_fixed joint_type = joint_rot_z # joint_type = rbdl.Joint.fromJointType(joint_name[i][0]) print("joint type is", joint_type); # Adding body to the model to create the complete robot model.AppendBody(trans, joint_type, body)

eturn model

Gravity compensation

• Used to overcome torques generated by the robot's masses

Generalized equation of motion equation

Using inverse dynamics given zero velocity and acceleration terms, we have:

- **Purpose**
	- To test the accuracy of the dynamics model
	- Is further used for the impedance controller
- Criteria for the implementation
	- The robot should hold any given position
	- No need for use of any other controllers (meaning accurate model)

 $\vec{\tau} = M(\vec{q})\ddot{\vec{q}} + V(\vec{q}, \dot{\vec{q}}) + G(\vec{q}) + \vec{\tau}_d$

 $\tau = G(q)$

 M inertia matrix V: velocity dependent matrix τ_{d} : disturbance torques : gravity matrix

Gravity compensation (contd.)

Gravity compensation for the KUKA arm:

Inverse dynamics Joint state Joint torques Gravity compensation Plant $dq, ddq=0$ | q_d

Gravity compensation for the MTM:

a) Serial MTM arm b) Full MTM arm

Impedance controller

Goal:

Control the relationship between the robot motion and the contact force as required for the task.

Benefits:

- Simultaneous force and motion control
- Suitable for tasks involving human-robot interaction

Impedance Control for Soft Robots*

[P. Song, Y. Yu and X. Zhang, "Impedance Control of Robots: An Overview,"](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8328305) *2017 2nd International Conference on Cybernetics, Robotics and Control (CRC)*[, Chengdu, 2017, pp. 51-55](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8328305) *[Keppler, Manuel, et al. "Elastic Structure Preserving Impedance \(ESπ\) Control for Compliantly Actuated Robots."](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?arnumber=8593415) *2018 IEEE/RSJ International [Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems \(IROS\)](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?arnumber=8593415)*. IEEE, 2018.

Mathematical Formulation

$$
F = \left(\frac{m}{M_d} - 1\right) F_{\text{ext}} + m\ddot{x}_0 - \frac{m}{M_d} \left(D_d \dot{e} + K_d e\right)
$$
\n
$$
F = \left(\frac{m}{M_d} - 1\right) F_{\text{ext}} + m\ddot{x}_0 - \frac{m}{M_d} \left(D_d \dot{e} + K_d e\right)
$$
\n
$$
F_{\text{eq.3}}
$$
\n
$$
F_{\text{eq.4}}
$$
\n
$$
F_{\text{eq.5}}
$$
\n
$$
F_{\text{ext}}
$$
\n
$$
F_{\text{eq.6}}
$$
\n
$$
F_{\text{eq.7}}
$$

D_d : Damping Matrix

$$
\kappa_{\mathsf{d}}\!:\! \mathsf{Stiffness\; Matrix}
$$

M_d :Desired Inertia Matrix

m : Inertia Matrix in Task Space, m = $(J^T)⁻¹$ M(q) J^T

M(q): Inertia Matrix in Joint Space

Reference: RBE-501 Robot Dynamics Lecture 13 Robotics 2 by Prof. Prof. Alessandro De Luca: http://www.diag.uniroma1.it/deluca/rob2_en.php

Trajectory Tracking via Impedance Control on KUKA-LBR

KUKA-LBR tracking linear trajectory along X axis

 Ω

Rejection to External Perturbation

Force at the end Effector for different stiffness

Gains:

$$
K_p = 1 \nK_d = 0.1 \nM_d = 0.005
$$

Control of Force and Motion Relationship

KUKA-LBR Tip Position/Velocity Within/out Disturbance, Kp=0.3/0.5/0.7: Desired vs. Real

x-axis unit: ms y-axis unit: m

x-axis unit: ms y-axis unit: m/ms

MTM impedance controller with Kp=0.3/0.5/0.7

X desired = -0.4 m \sim $+0.35$ m V desired = 0.5 m/s

Advantage: response rate increase Drawback: system easily being disturbed or unstable

MTM Tip Position/Velocity Within/out Disturbance, Kp=0.3/0.5/0.7: Desired vs. Real

x-axis unit: ms y-axis unit: m/ms

x-axis unit: ms y-axis unit: m

Performance comparison (PD VS. Impedance)

Compliance to obstacles:

Impedance controller with low stiffness in the x direction PD controller

- Ability to set desired stiffness of the end-effector in the cartesian space
- Low stiffness provides lower interaction force at the end-effector
- Desired when operating in environments where robot interaction with the surrounding environment is of importance

- Lack of compliance when encountering an obstacle
- **Relatively easier implementation**
- Desirable in applications where fast response and short settling times are required

Summary

- Derive the dynamics models of MTM and KUKA arms
- Implement the impedance controller on MTM and KUKA arms
	- Fully automated method to parse YAML file into model in RBDL
- Two fully documented working robot model examples with working dynamics and controllers for the AMBF simulator
- New function for the python API to get inertia of the bodies from AMBF
- Additional controller implementation gravity compensation and CTC

Acknowledgments

Special thanks to (Dr.)Adnan Munawar for his support and guidance throughout this project

References

- [1] [www.Intuitive.com](http://www.intuitive.com/)
- [2]<https://sites.google.com/site/davidvgealy/research>
- [3]<https://github.com/WPI-AIM/ambf>
- [4] [https://www.kuka.com](https://www.kuka.com/en-us)
- [5] https://research.intusurg.com/index.php/Main_Page

Thank you!

Inverse dynamics controller

Computed torque controller (CTC)

- Given desired position, velocity, acceleration compute the required joint torques
- Error terms are added with the desired acceleration
- **Purpose**
	- Test the full dynamics model
	- Used for comparison with the impedance controller to highlight the differences

Kuka arm using Impedance controller following a trajectory in the Y direction

$$
\vec{\tau} = M(\vec{q})\ddot{\vec{q}} + V(\vec{q}, \dot{\vec{q}}) + G(\vec{q}) + \vec{\tau}_d
$$

$$
u = M(q)a_q + V(q, q) + G(q)
$$

 $u =$ The set output (i.e. motor torque) $a_a = q_d$ = The second derivative of the input command trajectory

$$
a_q = \ddot{q}_d(t) - K_p e - K_v e \qquad \qquad \frac{e}{dt} = \dot{q}_d - \dot{q}_d
$$
\n
$$
e = q - q_d
$$

K*^P* : diagonal stiffness matrix K_{ν} : diagonal damping matrix e: position error de: velocity error

 $4d$

$$
u = M(q) \Big| \ddot{q}_d(t) - K_p e - K_v e \Big| + V(q, q) + G(q)
$$